UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,722	11/21/2008	William M. Heyn	WHP-P2-PCT	8713
William H. Holt Law Offices of William H. Holt 12311 Harbor Drive Woodbridge, VA 22192			EXAMINER	
			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/586,722	HEYN, WILLIAM M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	cr			

Art Unit: 3781

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at least one technical, or inventive, feature of the claimed instant invention.

# Claim Objections

- 2. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses. Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).
- 3. Claims 3-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3 is written as a combination claim, but should be re-written as an independent claim reciting the structure of the overcap of claim 2 therein. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear if the "depending means" of claim 4 is in addition to the "annular ring means" previously set forth in claim 2 or if they are intended to be the same structure.

Art Unit: 3781

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berney (US 4,856,674). Berney discloses an overcap (15) having a central portion (16,17), a peripheral skirt (20) to fit over an end panel (13) that is heat sealed to a container (10).
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen (US 4,697,719). Allen discloses an overcap (10) having concentric annular rings (27) to apply pressure on an end panel (20) that is heat sealed (26) to a container (13).
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boatwright et al. (US 2002/0190111). Boatwright discloses an overcap (30) having a skirt portion (34) to cover an end panel (20) that is heat sealed to a container (10). See paragraph 0032.

With respect to claims 2-4, see figure 7 and corresponding description.

With respect to claim 5, see paragraph 0034.

9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lowry (US 6,220,471). Lowry discloses an overcap (20) with a peripheral skin (23) for fitting over an end panel (19) that is heat sealed (col. 3, lines 28-37) to a container (10). The central portion of the overcap has an annular ring means, ring member or depending means (25).

Art Unit: 3781

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowry (US 6,220,471) in view of Boatwright et al. (US 2002/0190111).

Lowry discloses everything except for the depending means comprising leg members.

Boatwright discloses depending means that may be multiple leg members (see paragraph 0034).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize multiple leg members as taught by Boatwright as the depending means of Lowry to provide better pressure on the end panel.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Art Unit: 3781

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.

- 14. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 15. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Patent	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to The U.S and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Nata .

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday, **EXCEPT** Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199

Art Unit: 3781

• Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282

- Power of Attorney or Change of Address (via Applicant Assistance Unit) 571-272-4000
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

January 28, 2011

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781